0-99 1	Approved For	Release 2005/0	2/10 ; CIA	-RDP75B0	0159R000400080038	9 1 (117) 13 A A S
* NJATE		T O	P S E	CRET	SA L SS SD	AD 05 DC I
	1040Z 19 JUN 64	Transmitter en en 4 d.C.	nutring and during all of Experience Assessed	CHARMETER POLICY COMPANIES COMPANIES COMPANIES COMPANIES COMPANIES COMPANIES COMPANIES COMPANIES COMPANIES COMP	JOE	
7 70	:DIRECTOR	And the second s	novelenam em sema em plem fetir se major penajor	The same of the sa	5 JNT	7 14 7 15
FROM					RB	15
ACTIO					IMMEDI.	ATE
iNFO	: BSH 1-2	10 E	•		From the rest state of the stat	TO SECURE OF SECURE SEC
		_				
i	TOR: 1440Z (9 .)	UN 64				IN 84170
· To	IMMEDIATE	وهوا (الأخلاء و هو الوجود و الوجود و الوجود المحاصر و المحاصر و المحاصر و المحاصر و المحاصر و المحاصر و المحاصر	interes, et et petro con un metro destruit substat (1944 et 1966 de 1966)	en en entretante (Company en	CIIC	
: . <i>r</i>						
\$. }.		·				
7 i	·					-
1.1						

I AM VERY MUCH OPPOSED TO THE PROCEDURES OUTLINED IN PARA 3 OF REF WITH REGARD TO AIRCRAFT MARKINGS. FIRST OF ALL, IT WOULD BE FAR BETTER TO FLY AN UNMARKED AIRCRAFT FROM THIS LOCATION SINCE THE LAUNCH POINT IS DIRECTLY IN FRONT OF BASE OPERATIONS AND VIRTUALLY IN FULL VIEW OF THE ENTIRE BASE. SINCE IT IS NECESSARY TO ROLL THE BIRD OUT OF THE HANGAR APPROXIMATELY ONE HOUR PRIOR TO LAUNCH FOR FUELING; ETC., WE CAN EXPECT A GOOD SIZED GALLERY FOR THE TAKEOFF, BASED UPON PAST OPERATIONS FROM THIS LOCATION. EVEN IF WE CAN DEVISE A METHOD OF COVERING UP THE INSIGNIA, I BELIEVE THE UNVEILING IMMEDIATELY PRIOR TO LAUNCH WILL FOCUS FAR MORE ATTENTION TO THE AIRPLANE THAN LEAVING IT UNCOVERED. THE MARKINGS WILL UN-25X1 QUESTIONABLY CAUSE CONSIDERABLE SPECULATION ON THE PART OF BASE PERSONNEL AS WELL AS THE CARRIER AND OTHER SURFACE VESSELS BERTHED

TOPSECRET

GROUP 7 Excluded from percent downgraphing and dodousticosics

Approved For Release 2005/02/10: CIA-RDP75B00159R000400080038-9

The parts of the total two tan	
ADJACENT TO OUR OPERATING AREA.	
2. ASSUME PROPOSAL OUTLINED PARA 3 REF HAS BEEN CLEARED WITH THE	
3. IT DOESN'T SEEN THAT THE ABSENCE OF LEGAL SIZED INCONSPICIOUS	
WARKINGS REFERRED TO IN REF WOULD PRESENT AS GREAT A RISK AS THE	
FOSSIBILITY OF SURFACING THIS OPERATION DUE TO HIGH EXPOSURE	
TO NAVY PERSONNEL WHO ARE UNQUESTIONABLY	
COING TO SEE THE AIRPLANE.	
4. IF HQS FEELS COMPELLED TO USE MARKINGS WE WOULD	25X1
APPRECIATE ANY DETAILS THAT COULD BE PROVIDED ON MASKING AND UN-	
VEILING OPERATION WITH FUSELAGE COLORED MATERIAL.	
5. UNLESS ADVISED OTHERWISE, WE PROPOSE TO FLY A TWO-HOUR ORIEN-	
TATION HOP WITH TAKEOFF AT 20/0100Z; HOWEVER, WE HAVE NO MARKINGS	
ON THE AIRCRAFT AT THIS TIME. WE FEEL THAT LOCAL FLIGHT FOR	
WOULD BE VERY BENEFICIAL DUE TO G MODEL DIFFERENCES,	
LOCAL TERRAIN FEATURES, AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL COUPLED WITH PROBABLE	
WX.	
6. THE OPS ORDER FOR THIS EXERCISE WAS PUBLISHED APPROX 9 DAYS	• .
AGO AND AS OF THIS TIME WE HAVE NO ANNEX F COVER STORY FOR THE	
LOCAL AREA OR OPERATIONAL MISSIONS. DUE TO THE UNUSUAL SECURITY	
CONSIDERATIONS, HAD ASSUMED THIS WOULD BE SPELLED OUT IN CONSIDERABLE	
DETAIL.	

END OF MESSAGE

TOPSECRET